

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

CHARLESTON DIVISION

IN RE: COLOPLAST CORP.
PELVIC SUPPORT SYSTEMS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL 2387

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO CASES
IDENTIFIED ON EXHIBIT A

**TRANSFER ORDER
FOR CASES ON EXHIBIT A**

The individual cases on Exhibit A were directly filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia and are related to MDL 2387 (“Coloplast MDL”), 2:12-md-2387, one of several MDLs assigned to me by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. Of the approximately 2,558 cases originally filed in or transferred to the Coloplast MDL, only 31 remain. Of the remaining cases, 15 are listed on Exhibit A and are ready to be transferred to the appropriate jurisdiction. In particular, the time to conduct discovery is complete in the cases on Exhibit A, and the parties have had time to file dispositive and *Daubert* motions, responses and replies. For the convenience of the parties and in order to promote the final resolution of these cases, it appears to the court that the cases would be more expeditiously concluded in the venues from which they arise. The parties have indicated collectively to the court or the court has determined that proper venue resides in the United States District Courts identified in Exhibit A. *Upon transfer, I urge the receiving court to immediately set these cases for trial.*


Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), it is **ORDERED** that on **September 23, 2019** (14 days after the date of entry of the order) (hereinafter the “Transfer Date”) the cases identified on Exhibit A that are *still pending* shall be transferred to the United States District Courts

identified on Exhibit A. On or before **September 22, 2019** (13 days after the date of entry of the order), the parties are **ORDERED** to confer and to file in each individual member case identified in Exhibit A, all documents from the main MDL that the parties jointly deem relevant to constitute an appropriate record for the receiving court to consider. When filing the documents from the main MDL, the parties are directed to use the CM/ECF event entitled “Designation of Record for MDL Transfers” (available under Civil > Other Filings > Other Documents). When completing the event, the “Main Document” should be the list of joint designations from the main MDL, and the “Attachments” should be each individual document on the joint designation list with the “Description” being the ECF number and a brief description of the document (i.e. ECF 96 – Joint Stipulation of Experts). All cases on Exhibit A that are pending on the Transfer Date shall be transferred, and the parties shall bear the consequences in the receiving court of any failure to prepare an appropriate record as directed in this order. The parties and the receiving court are advised that all Pretrial Orders entered in this matter are available for review on the court’s website at www.wvsc.uscourts.gov/MDL/2387/orders.html and the master docket sheet can be found on this court’s CM/ECF at 2:12-md-2387. *Finally, the court advises the parties that while the docket of the individual case will transfer to the receiving court, it is the parties’ responsibility to follow the receiving court’s procedure for identifying any individual motions that remain pending and in need of ruling.*

On the Transfer Date, the Clerk is hereby **DIRECTED** to use the appropriate function in CM/ECF to extract each member case listed on Exhibit A that remains pending and transfer it to the corresponding United States District Court listed on Exhibit A. After transfer of each member case listed in Exhibit A that is not dismissed prior to the Transfer Date, the Clerk is **DIRECTED** to formally close the case and strike it from the docket of this court.

The court further **DIRECTS** that a copy of this order and Exhibit A be filed in every case listed on Exhibit A and sent to counsel of record or any unrepresented party for the cases listed on Exhibit A.

ENTER: September 9, 2019



JOSEPH R. GOODWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT A

	Civil Action No.	Case Style	Venue
1	2:15-cv-04197	Morgan et al v. Mentor Worldwide LLC et al	Alabama - Northern District
2	2:14-cv-10162	Caddy v. Coloplast Corp.	California - Southern District
3	2:14-cv-12587	Jara et al v. Mentor Worldwide LLC et al	California - Southern District
4	2:13-cv-20510	Tridente et al v. Mentor Worldwide LLC et al	Delaware
5	2:17-cv-04024	Gnegy et al v. Coloplast Corp.	Florida - Southern District
6	2:18-cv-00052	Smith et al v. Coloplast Corp.	Florida - Southern District
7	2:16-cv-02071	Martin v. Mentor Worldwide LLC	Georgia - Middle District
8	2:17-cv-00482	Stevens v. Coloplast Corp.	Illinois - Southern District
9	2:16-cv-02756	Roberts et al v. Coloplast Corp.	Indiana - Southern District
10	2:17-cv-02506	Hardman v. Coloplast Corp.	Michigan - Eastern District
11	2:14-cv-24123	Simmons v. Mentor Worldwide LLC et al	Missouri - Eastern District
12	2:12-cv-04093	Brackman v. Mentor Worldwide LLC	New Jersey
13	2:13-cv-20021	Johnson v. Mentor Worldwide LLC et al	Texas - Eastern District
14	2:16-cv-06483	Marston v. Mentor Worldwide LLC et al	Virginia - Western District
15	2:14-cv-20534	Stein v. Coloplast Corp.	Washington - Eastern District